BEFORE THE OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOARD OF ELECTIONS AND ETHICS FRANK D. REEVES MUNICIPAL BUILDING 2000-14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 420 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009

(202) 671-0550

IN THE MATTER OF)	DATE: January 7, 2004					
)						
Penelope Brooks)	DOCKET NO.: 03F-224					
Program Administrator, Strong Families)						
Program Division)						
Department of Human Services)						
1245-13 th Streeet, NW, #813)						
Washington, DC 20005)						

ORDER

Statement of the Case

This matter came before the Office of Campaign Finance (hereinafter OCF) Office of General Counsel following a determination by its Public Information Records Management Division, that pursuant to the D.C. Code §1-1106.02 (2001 Edition), Penelope Brooks, Program Administrator, Strong Families Program Division, Department of Human Services, failed to timely file, a Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before May 15, 2003 as required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 and also failed to file on or before the OCF sanctioned extended filing deadline of June 19, 2003.

By Notices of Hearing, Statements of Violations and Orders of Appearance dated November 17, 2003 and December 2, 2003, OCF ordered Penelope Brooks (hereinafter respondent), to appear at scheduled hearings on December 1, 2003 and December 11, 2003 and show cause why she should not be found in violation of the D.C. Campaign Finance Reform and Conflict of Interest Act of 1974, as amended by D.C. Official Code §§1-1101.01 et seq., and fined accordingly.

Summary of Evidence

OCF alleges that the respondent failed to timely file the statutorily required Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.

On December 11, 2003, the respondent appeared at the scheduled hearing and testified that she received notices from OCF to file a Financial Disclosure Statement. However, the respondent believed the notices were sent in error as they contained the wrong title and agency information. Respondent further stated that two (2) years ago she

IN THE MATTER OF: Penelope Brooks

Page 2

received notices requiring her to file as an Excepted Service employee. Respondent stated she has never been an Excepted Service employee, but has served in the Career Service until her appointment to the Management Supervisory Service (MSS) in August 2000. The respondent stated she was not aware that MSS employees were required to file with OCF until contacted by this agency, and was not informed by her superiors of the requirement. Respondent filed a fully executed FDS at the conclusion of the hearing.

Findings of Fact

Having reviewed the allegations and the record herein, I find:

- 1. Respondent is a member of the Management Supervisory Service (MSS).
- 2. Respondent was required to file a Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.
- 3. Respondent filed the required Financial Disclosure Statement on December 11, 2003.
- 4. Respondent is a first-time FDS required filer.
- 5. Respondent provided a credible explanation for the filing delinquency in that she believed the notices she received from OCF were in error as they contained the wrong title and agency, and that she believed her agency failed to inform her of the FDS filing requirement.
- 6. Respondent is currently in compliance with the statute.

Conclusions of Law

Based upon the record provided by OCF, I therefore conclude:

- 1. Respondent violated D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02.
- 2. The penalty established at D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), and 3DCMR §§3711.2(aa), 3711.2 and 3711.4 for failure to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 is a fine of \$50.00 per day for each business day subsequent to the due date.
- 3. In accordance with D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), the respondent may be fined a maximum of \$2,000.00 for failing to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement.

IN THE MATTER OF: Penelope Brooks Page 3

- 4. For good cause shown pursuant to 3DCMR §3711.6, the Director of Campaign Finance (Director) may modify, rescind, dismiss or suspend any fine.
- 5. Respondent's explanation for failing to timely file constitutes good cause for suspension of the fine.

Recommendation

	In	view	of	the	foreg	going	and	information	included	in	the	record,	I	hereby
recomr	nen	d that	the	Dire	ctor s	suspen	d the	imposition of	of the fine	in t	his r	natter.		

Date	Jean Scott Diggs
	Hearing Officer
Concurrence	
In view of the foregoing, I here	reby concur with the Recommendation.
 Date	Kathy S. Williams
Date	ixatily 5. Williams

IN THE MATTER OF: Penelope Brooks Page 4

ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR

IT IS ORDERED that the fin	e in this matter be hereby suspended.
Date	Cecily E. Collier-Montgomery Director
SER This is to certify that I have served a t	VICE OF ORDER true copy of the foregoing Order.
	Rose Rice Legal Assistant

NOTICE

Pursuant to 3DCMR §3711.5 (1999), any fine imposed by the Director shall become effective on the 16th day following the issuance of a decision and order, if the respondent does not request an appeal of this matter. If applicable, within 10 days of the effective date of this order, please make a check or money order payable to the D.C. Treasurer, c/o Office of Campaign Finance, Suite 420, 2000-14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009.